

United States District Court  
Eastern District of California

James Hines,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Nuckle, et al.,

Defendants.

-oOo-

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in a civil rights action. August 1, 2005, plaintiff moved for appointment of counsel and filed a request for production of documents.

Interrogatories, requests for production, requests for admission, responses and proofs of service thereof "shall not be filed with the clerk until there is a proceeding in which the document or proof of service is at issue. When required in a proceeding, only that part of the request and response that is in

1  
2  
3 issue shall be filed." Local Rules 33-250(c), 34-250(c) & 36-  
4 250(c). Plaintiff must serve his discovery requests on  
5 defendants.

6 Plaintiff's August 1, 2005, motion for appointment of  
7 counsel is denied for reasons explained in the court's October  
8 27, 2004, order. Plaintiff's August 1, 2005, request for  
9 production of documents is stricken and the clerk of the court  
10 shall make a notation thereon to that effect. Time for plaintiff  
11 to oppose defendants' motion to dismiss is extended to September  
12 15, 2005.

13 So ordered.

14 Dated: August 23, 2005.

15 /s/ Peter A. Nowinski  
16 PETER A. NOWINSKI  
17 Magistrate Judge  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26